



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/596,246

10/19/2006

Marian Daniel Baum

KAR0103PCTUS

4180

62124 7590 01/07/2009

QUINN LAW GROUP, PLLC  
39555 ORCHARD HILL PLACE  
SUITE # 520  
NOVI, MI 48375

EXAMINER

GUTMAN, HILARY L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3612

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/07/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/596,246	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BAUM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hilary Gutman	<b>Art Unit</b> 3612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hilary Gutman. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Levine (60300). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Obendiek and Rawlings et al. of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant discussed 'intended use; language in claims 1, 7, and 8. Specifically the support "for..." (claim 1), "further configured to support" (claim 8), and the flanges "to accommodate..." (claim 7). Examiner proposed adding more positively recited structural limitations to the claims specifically the drive element, cover part, hydraulic pump, control unit, control lines, and connections and reciting the specific attachment of the components to the support and flanges.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hilary Gutman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612	
--	--